

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/756,246	•	01/08/2001	Mark J. Jarrett	A11-17146US	5621
128	7590	03/10/2004		EXAMINER	
HONEY	WELL INT	TERNATIONAL IN	TURNER, SAMUEL A		
101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRIST	MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245 2877				
				DATE MAILED: 03/10/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/756,246	JARRETT ET AL.				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Samuel A. Turner	2877				
	of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
• •	Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINA		action is non-final.					
*							
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above cla  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a  8) ☐ Claim(s) are  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed	e rejected. re objected to. subject to restriction and/or objected to by the Examiner on 27 March 2003 is/are: a	r election requirement. r. a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected t					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (P     Notice of Draftsperson's Pater	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings were received on 27 March 2003. These drawings have been approved except for the following objection. The drawings are objected to because figure 1 must be labeled as prior art. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:


(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).


Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwang(5,496,201).

Art Unit: 2877

Hwang teaches a manifold for use in the purging of gas discharge lamps. The purging assembly comprises a manifold(11) which includes a hollow chamber which is mated by detachable joints(16,17) to a processing station(20,28,29,40,41). A plurality of passages(63) leads from the manifold to the lamps. See figure 2A.



Each of the passages comprise a first tube(12), a ball valve connector(60), and a fill tube(63) connected to each lamp. A connector(70) which includes a rubber stopper(72) connects each lamp to the passages. See figure 2B



Art Unit: 2877

Hwang is silent on the materials of the manifold and is directed to processing a plurality of discharge lamps and not laser blocks.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made apply the manifold assembly to the simultaneous processing of a plurality of any gas discharge devices, this would include lasers and ring lasers.

With regard to claims 4-6, and 12-14 it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art use known materials based on the processing fluids used.

With regard to claim 10 the laser block claimed is a conventional ring laser.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Turner whose phone number is **571**-**272**-**2432**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached on **571-272-2415**.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is **571-272-1562**.

Any other inquiry of a technical nature, and all inquiries of a general nature or any patent term adjustment should be directed to TC2800 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is **571-272-1585**.

Art Unit: 2877

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel A. Turner Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877